UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,871	08/01/2003	Naoshi Kobuya	SONYJP 3.0-319	4649
	7590 02/24/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,		EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			TO, TUAN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,871	KOBUYA ET AL.	
ĺ	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUAN C. TO	3663	

ndence addi	ress
VANCE.	
To avoid aban r evidence, w	ndonment of this which places the (3) a Request ving time
he final rejectio EPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
The appropria	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
	s of the date of appeal. Since a
e entered be	cause
);	
simplifying th	ne issues for
ms.	
	<u>a</u> . (See 37 CFR
mendment (F	PTOL-324).
	t canceling the
red and an ex	planation of
ppeal will <u>not</u> r evidence is	be entered necessary and
iling a brief, w appellant fails R 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
low or attache	ed.
n for allowand	ce because:
VENCE THE ON HISB WY IN THE FIRE	ANCE. To avoid abar revidence, we FR 41.31; or e of the follow rejection, which is final rejection in the appropriate the appropriate the final Official rejection, even two months emissal of the final of the final of the final rejection, even two months emissal of the final rejection, even two months emissal of the final official rejection, even two months emissal of the final official rejection, even two months entirely in the final official rejection, even the final official rejection in two months entirely in the final official entirely in the final entire entirely in the final entire

Art Unit: 3663

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As previously discussed in the final rejection, the cited reference to Sagie and DeLorme et al. is combined to suggest the features recited in the amendment filed on 07/7/2008. Therefore, the final rejection cannot be withdrawn at this time.